One-Pot Synthesis of Arylketones from Aromatic Acids via Palladium-Catalyzed Suzuki Coupling

Hongxiang Wu,[†] Baiping Xu,[‡] Yue Li,[†] Fengying Hong,[†] Dezhao Zhu,[†] Junsheng Jian,[†] Xiaoer Pu,[†] and Zhuo Zeng^{*,†}

[†]College of Chemistry and Environment, South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510006, People's Republic of China [‡]Guangdong Industry Technical College, Guangdong 510300, People's Republic of China

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A palladium-catalyzed one-pot procedure for the synthesis of aryl ketones has been developed. Triazine esters when coupled with aryl boronic acids provided aryl ketones in moderate to excellent yields (up to 95%) in the presence of 1 mol % Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ for 30 min.

A rylketones are commonly used compounds in the preparation of pharmaceuticals¹ and materials² and act as useful building blocks in organic chemistry.³ Compared with the traditional Friedel–Crafts acylation, transition-metalcatalyzed acylative Suzuki coupling is a more effective way of obtaining aryl ketones, due to high functional group tolerance, regioselectivity, and the mild reaction conditions employed. Transition-metal-catalyzed cross-coupling reaction between an aryl boronic acid and an electrophile, including anhydrides,⁴ acyl chlorides,⁵ esters,⁶ nitriles,⁷ aldehydes,⁸ and amides,⁹ to form a series of symmetrical or asymmetric ketones was developed in recent years (Scheme 1, a).

Carbonylative Suzuki coupling utilizing the C1 building block carbon monoxide is yet another approach for the synthesis of aryl ketones which utilizes a transition-metalcatalyzed coupling reaction (Scheme 1, b).¹⁰ However, this approach should be operated under rigorously controlled

Scheme 1. Pd-Catalyzed Carbonylative Suzuki Cross-Couplings

(a) Acylative Suzuki coupling



(b) Carbonylative Suzuki coupling with CO

 $Ar-I + CO \xrightarrow{Ar'B(OH)_2} Ar \xrightarrow{O} Ar'$

(c) One-pot reaction (this work)



conditions, due to the high toxicity and flammability of carbon monoxide.

One-pot

Ar'-B(OH)

Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂, K₃PO₄ toluene, 110 °C, 30 mir

> 38 examples up to 95% vield

Cleavage of carbon–oxygen bonds is generally regarded as more difficult than cleavage of carbon–halogen bonds, due to the higher bond dissociation energy of C–O bond.¹¹ During the past few years, a series of C–O electrophiles such as pivalates,¹² acetates,¹³ carbamates,¹⁴ carbonates,¹⁵ sulfonates,¹⁶ sulfamates,¹⁷ and sulfuryl fluoride¹⁸ have been investigated as conveniently available coupling partners in metal-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions.

It is well-known that 2-chloro-4,6-dimethoxy-1,3,5-triazine (CDMT) is an easily obtained reagent used in the preparation of the corresponding heteroaryl ethers¹⁹ or esters²⁰ which, in turn, can be employed as powerful coupling partners. The strongly polar nature of the 1,3,5-triazine facilitates the cleavage of the C–O bond in the ester, and the oxygenated 1,3,5-triazine possesses good leaving group potential which could accelerate the transmetalation process in the catalytic cycle of cross-coupling reactions.²¹ Carboxylic acids or amino acids 1,3,5-triazine esters are reacted with a Grignard/CuI reagent to give the corresponding ketones in nearly quantitative yields.²² In this type of reactions, functional group tolerance for the Grignard/CuI reagent needed to be improved. However, the reaction times are typically long and involve two-step procedures.

On the basis of the difficulties outlined in the proceeding sections, and considering the general utility of aryl ketones, we set out to develop of a convenient and practical way of synthesizing aryl ketones directly from aromatic acids. Hence, we are reporting a facile, palladium-catalyzed Suzuki coupling which employs a triazine ester as key intermediate to the synthesis of aryl ketones in one pot. First, aromatic carboxylic acids are reacted with 2-chloro-4,6-dimethoxy-1,3,5-triazine (CDMT) under very mild conditions to provide the corresponding triazine ester. Subsequent treatment of the

Received: November 20, 2015 Published: March 5, 2016



ONS © 2016 American Chemical Society

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

triazine ester with an aryl boronic acid in the presence of a palladium catalyst resulted in a cross-coupling reaction to give aryl ketones in high yields. This is the first example of a facile synthesis of a diverse range of aryl ketones from aromatic acids and aryl boronic acid in a one-pot procedure (Scheme 1, c).

Benzoic acid 1a and phenylboronic acid 2a were chosen as general substrates for the one-pot synthesis of benzophenone (Table 1). We first carried out the one-pot reaction in two

Table 1.	Effects	of	Catalyst,	Solvent,	and	Base
----------	---------	----	-----------	----------	-----	------

СООН		1) NM			
\square		2) PhB(OH) ₂	10 °C, 30 min	\bigcirc \bigcirc	
1a	CDMT	2a			3aa
entry	catalyst (mol	%)	base	solvent	yield ^{c} (%)
1	$Pd(OAc)_2$ (5)		Na ₂ CO ₃	toluene	26
2	$PdCl_{2}(5)$		Na ₂ CO ₃	toluene	35
3	$Pd(PPh_3)_2Cl_2$	(5)	Na ₂ CO ₃	toluene	61
4	$Pd(PPh_3)_2Cl_2$	(5)	Na_2CO_3	DMSO	trace
5	$Pd(PPh_3)_2Cl_2$	(5)	Na ₂ CO ₃	DMF	21
6	$Pd(PPh_3)_2Cl_2$	(5)	Na ₂ CO ₃	1,4-dioxane	trace
7	$Pd(PPh_3)_2Cl_2$	(5)	ND	toluene	trace
8	$Pd(PPh_3)_2Cl_2$	(5)	K ₂ CO ₃	toluene	66
9	$Pd(PPh_3)_2Cl_2$	(5)	CsCO ₃	toluene	70
10	$Pd(PPh_3)_2Cl_2$	(5)	K ₃ PO ₄	toluene	83
11	$Pd(PPh_3)_2Cl_2$	(5)	KF	toluene	77
12	$Pd(PPh_3)_2Cl_2$	(1)	K ₃ PO ₄	toluene	85
13	Pd(PPh ₃)Cl ₂	(0.1)	K ₃ PO ₄	toluene	65
14 ^b	$Pd(PPh_3)_2Cl_2$	(1)	K ₃ PO ₄	toluene	39
15	$Pd(OAc)_2$ (1)		K ₃ PO ₄	toluene	63

"Reaction conditions (unless otherwise noted): 1a (0.5 mmol), CDMT (0.5 mmol), NMM (0.5 mmol), solvent (3 mL) were premixed in a 10 mL flask at rt. for 1 h; after charging catalyst, base, and 2a (0.5 mmol), the mixture was stirred and heated at 110 °C for 30 min. ^bStirred and heated at 80 °C for 1 h. ^cIsolated yield.

sequential steps, first reacting the aromatic acid and CDMT in toluene, using 4-methylmorpholine (NMM) as base at room temperature for 1 h, thus providing the triazine ester. Second, further reaction of the triazine ester with equal molar phenylboronic acid in the presence of 5 mol % $Pd(OAc)_2$ catalyst with Na_2CO_3 as base resulted in benzophenone at low yield (26%). Through modification of the palladium catalyst, the yield of benzophenone increased significantly, for example, $PdCl_2$, provided 35% yield, $Pd(PPh_3)_2Cl_2$, provided 61% yield (Table 1, entries 1–3).

The solvent also plays a crucial role in determining the yield. In nearly every case, the reactions using toluene as solvent had a higher yield than those of the corresponding reaction which used the polar solvents DMSO, DMF, or 1,4-dioxane (Table 1, entries 4–6). It was found that benzophenone cannot be obtained by reacting triazine ester with phenylboronic acid under the same conditions without base (Table 1, entry 7). The identity of the base also influenced the yield of benzophenone; for example, changing the base from Na₂CO₃ to either K₂CO₃, Cs₂CO₃, KF, or K₃PO₄ gave rise to an increase in yield in this protocol.

Through further optimization of the catalyst loading to 1 mol %, the yield of the desired product increased to 85%.

The high yield obtained with the optimized conditions encouraged us to extend this approach to substituted aromatic acids and aryl boronic acids to synthesize the variety of aryl ketones.

The scope and limitations of this acylative Suzuki crosscoupling reaction were explored using aromatic or heterocyclic acids under the optimized reaction conditions (Table 2). To investigate the influence of electronic factors, a series of aromatic acids (1b-1g) with electron-withdrawing groups in the para-position were coupled to phenylboronic acid, which provided the aryl ketone with yields in the range of 43-90%. The 4-methoxy and 3-methoxy benzoic acids (1h, 1i) were examined as electron-donating substrates with excellent yield. Further, the methoxy and methyl in the ortho-position on benzene resulted in decreasing yield, indicating that steric effects are also a factor. Other aromatic acids 1m and 1n provided 46% and 85% yield, respectively. These results demonstrated that both electron-donating and electron-withdrawing groups at the aromatic ring are tolerated, except in the case where the aromatic acid bears a functionality in the orthoposition, which provides steric hindrance, for the examples 1j and 11. The bulky cyclopentyl and 1-adamantyl acids were coupled to phenyl boronic acid to give the corresponding ketones with 65% and 90% yield, respectively.

In this catalytic system, both electron-deficient and electronrich aryl boronic acids demonstrated good reactivity and provided the desired products in moderate to excellent yields in short reaction times (2b-2p). Coupling of 2-methyl (2d) or 2,4-dimethyl (2f) phenyl boronic acids with triazine esters gave the corresponding sterically hindered ketones in 92% and 91% yield, respectively (Table 3). Furthermore, heteroaryl ketones **3nt** (77%), **3nu** (57%), **3vt** (55%), and **3wt** (61%) could be synthesized via this approach from 2-thiophenecarboxylic acid and heteroaryl boronic acids. To our delight, the scope of the aryl boronic acid was wide in this one-pot reaction, with high functional group compatibility and negligible steric hindrance effects.

On the basis of our observations and the known palladium chemistry, a possible mechanism for the palladium-catalyzed acylative Suzuki coupling reaction is proposed (Scheme 2): Oxidative addition of the triazine ester to the Pd(0)-species to generate an acylpalladium(II) complex II; transmetalation between acylpalladium(II) and the arylboronic acid to create the complex III; and, finally, reductive elimination to form the new C–C bond and regeneration of Pd(0)-species.

In conclusion, a one-pot reaction was developed for the synthesis of asymmetric aryl ketones using aromatic triazine esters as electrophiles. The procedure employs low catalyst loadings and has demonstrated tolerance to a variety of functional groups. Compared with the previous transition-metal-catalyzed protocols, this facile palladium-catalyzed Suzuki cross-coupling reaction has greater efficiency due to the two reactions sequence being carried out in a one-pot procedure. This is particularly important in large-scale synthesis of asymmetric aryl ketones.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Procedure: For the synthesis of Benzophenone 3. A mixture of the 2-chloro-4,6-dimethoxy-1,3,5-triazine (CDMT) (1.00 mmol, 175.6 mg), benzoic acid (1.00 mmol, 122.1 mg), and 4-methylmorpholine (1.00 mmol, 101.2 mg) in toluene (5 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. After reaction completion monitoring by TLC, the flask was charged with $Pd(PPh_3)_2Cl_2$ (0.01 mmol, 7.0 mg), K_3PO_4 (2.00 mmol, 424.5 mg), and phenylboronic acid (1.00 mmol, 121.9 mg) before standard cycles of evacuation and backfilling with dry nitrogen. The mixture was stirred and heated at 110 °C for 30 min. After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was filtrated through a short pad of silica gel; then, the silica gel was

Table 2. Scope of Acylative Suzuki Coupling of Phenylboronic Acid 2a with Various Aromatic Acids 1a-1p



washed with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 15 mL) and the organic phases were combined. After the solvent was removed, the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (petroleum ether/dichloromethane = 5:1) to give benzophenone, **3aa**. This approach also could be run in 10 mmol scale to give 83% benzophenone.

Benzophenone (3aa).^{5b} Following the general procedure, 3aa was isolated as a white solid (155 mg, 85%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.85–7.78 (m, 4H), 7.61–7.56 (m, 2H), 7.50–7.45 (m, 4H).

(4-Fluorophenyl)(phenyl)methanone (**3ba**).^{10e} Following the general procedure, **3ba** was isolated as a white solid (181 mg, 90%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.82 (dd, J = 8.6, 5.5 Hz, 2H), 7.78–7.70 (m, 2H), 7.56 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.46 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.14 (t, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ –106.00.

(4-Chlorophenyl)(phenyl)methanone (**3ca**).^{5b} Following the general procedure, **3ca** was isolated as a white solid (160 mg, 74%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.76 (dt, *J* = 4.2, 3.7 Hz, 4H), 7.62–7.58 (m, 1H), 7.52–7.45 (m, 4H).

(4-Bromophenyl)(phenyl)methanone (**3da**).^{23a} Following the general procedure, **3da** was isolated as a white solid (113 mg, 43%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.77 (dd, J = 8.2, 1.2 Hz, 2H), 7.69–7.57 (m, 5H), 7.49 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H).

4-Benzoylbenzonitrile (**3ea**).⁵⁶ Following the general procedure, **3ea** was isolated as a white solid (141 mg, 68%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.88 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.84–7.72 (m, 4H), 7.65 (s, 1H), 7.52 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H).

(4-Nitrophenyl)(phenyl)methanone (**3fa**).^{5b} Following the general procedure, **3fa** was isolated as a pale yellow solid (137 mg, 60%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.41–8.29 (m, 2H), 7.97–7.90 (m, 2H), 7.81 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 1.1 Hz, 2H), 7.66 (dd, *J* = 10.6, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H).

Phenyl/4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)methanone (**3ga**).^{5b} Following the general procedure, **3ga** was isolated as a white solid (195 mg, 78%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.89 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.84– 7.78 (m, 2H), 7.76 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.63 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ –63.05 (s, 1H).

(4-Methoxyphenyl)(phenyl)methanone (**3ha**).^{5b} Following the general procedure, **3ha** was isolated as a white solid (172 mg, 81%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.83 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.78–7.70 (m, 2H), 7.55 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 6.96 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 3.88 (s, 3H).

(3-Methoxyphenyl)(phenyl)methanone (3ia).^{4c} Following the general procedure, 3ia was isolated as a colorless oil (167 mg, 79%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.80 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.56 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.46 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.40–7.30 (m, 3H), 7.13 (s, 1H), 3.84 (s, 3H).

(2-Methoxyphenyl)(phenyl)methanone (3ja).^{5b} Following the general procedure, 3ja was isolated as a colorless oil (41 mg, 19%).
¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.81 (dd, J = 5.1, 3.3 Hz, 2H), 7.59–7.51 (m, 1H), 7.47 (ddd, J = 8.4, 7.6, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (dd, J = 10.6, 4.8 Hz, 2H), 7.36 (dd, J = 7.5, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.04 (td, J = 7.5, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 6.99 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.72 (s, 3H). Phenyl(p-tolyl)methanone (3ka).^{5b} Following the general proce-

Phenyl(p-tolyl)methanone (**3ka**).⁵⁰ Following the general procedure, **3ka** was isolated as a white solid (157 mg, 80%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.77 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.71 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.55 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 7.45 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.26 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 2.41 (s, 3H).

Phenyl(o-tolyl)methanone (3la).^{10e} Following the general procedure, **3la** was isolated as a colorless oil (106 mg, 54%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.80 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.57 (s, 1H), 7.45 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.39 (s, 1H), 7.30 (s, 2H), 7.24 (s, 1H), 2.33 (s, 3H). *Naphthalen-1-yl(phenyl)methanone (3ma).*^{5b} Following the

Naphthalen-1-yl(phenyl)methanone (**3ma**).⁵⁰ Following the general procedure, **3ma** was isolated as a white solid (107 mg, 46%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.10 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 8.00 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.95–7.90 (m, 1H), 7.87 (dd, J = 8.3, 1.2 Hz, 2H), 7.61–7.55 (m, 2H), 7.55–7.48 (m, 3H), 7.45 (dd, J = 10.8, 4.7 Hz, 2H).

Phenyl(thiophen-2-yl)methanone (**3na**).^{5b} Following the general procedure, **3na** was isolated as a pale yellow oil (160 mg, 85%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.86 (dt, J = 8.4, 1.6 Hz, 2H), 7.72 (dd, J = 5.0, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (dd, J = 3.8, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.61–7.57 (m, 1H), 7.51–7.47 (m, 2H), 7.16 (dd, J = 4.9, 3.8 Hz, 1H). Cyclopentyl(phenyl)methanone (**3oa**).^{23b} Following the general

Cyclopentyl(phenyl)methanone (**30a**).²³⁰ Following the general procedure, **30a** was isolated as a colorless oil (113 mg, 65%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.98 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.54 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.46 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 3.76–3.67 (m, 1H), 1.92 (dd, *J* = 12.7, 6.0 Hz, 4H), 1.80–1.46 (m, 4H).

Table 3. Scope of Acylative Suzuki Coupling of Thiophene-2-carboxylic Acid 1n with Various Arylboronic Acids 2b-2x



Adamantane-1-yl(phenyl)methanone (**3pa**). Following the general procedure, **3pa** was isolated as a white solid (216 mg, 90%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.54 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.43 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.07 (s, 3H), 2.01 (s, 6H), 1.74 (q, J = 12.4 Hz, 6H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 210.3, 139.6, 130.2, 128.0, 127.1, 47.0, 39.1, 36.5, 28.1. HRMS (EI) m/z: [M]⁺ Calcd for C₁₇H₂₀O 240.1514; Found 240.1517. mp 58–59 °C.

Thiophen-2-yl(p-tolyl)methanone (**3nb**).^{10e} Following the general procedure, **3nb** was isolated as a pale yellow oil (162 mg, 80%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.79 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.70 (dd, *J* = 5.0, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.65 (dd, *J* = 3.8, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.16 (dd, *J* = 4.9, 3.8 Hz, 1H), 2.44 (s, 3H).

Thiophen-2-yl(m-tolyl)methanone (**3nc**).^{23c} Following the general procedure, **3nc** was isolated as a pale yellow oil (165 mg, 82%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, cdcl₃) δ 7.70 (d, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (dd, *J* = 7.4, 4.7 Hz, 3H), 7.41–7.34 (m, 2H), 7.15 (dd, *J* = 6.4, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 2.42 (s, 3H).

Thiophen-2-yl(o-tolyl)methanone (*3nd*).^{23d} Following the general procedure, *3nd* was isolated as a pale yellow oil (186 mg, 92%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.72 (dd, *J* = 4.9, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (dd, *J* = 7.6, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (dd, *J* = 3.8, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (dd, *J* = 7.5, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.30–7.25 (m, 2H), 7.11 (dd, *J* = 4.9, 3.8 Hz, 1H), 2.39 (s, 3H).

(3,5-Dimethylphenyl)(thiophen-2-yl)methanone (**3ne**). Following the general procedure, **3ne** was isolated as a pale yellow oil (179 mg, 83%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.67 (d, J = 4.9 Hz, 1H), 7.62–

7.60 (m, 1H), 7.44 (s, 2H), 7.19 (s, 1H), 7.13 (dd, J = 4.8, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 2.36 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 188.6, 143.9, 138.3, 138.1, 134.8, 134.0, 133.9, 127.9, 126.9, 21.3. HRMS (EI) m/z: [M]⁺ Calcd for C₁₃H₁₂OS 216.0609; Found 216.0606.

(2,4-Dimethylphenyl)(thiophen-2-yl)methanone (**3nf**). Following the general procedure, **3nf** was isolated as a pale yellow oil (197 mg, 91%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.70 (d, *J* = 4.9 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (d, *J* = 3.8 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (d, *J* = 4.5 Hz, 2H), 7.06 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 2.37 (d, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 6H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 190.3, 145.2, 140.7, 136.9, 135.6, 135.2, 134.5, 132.0, 128.6, 128.0, 125.8, 21.4, 19.8. HRMS (EI) *m*/*z*: [M]⁺ Calcd for C₁₃H₁₂OS 216.0609; Found 216.0608.

(4-Ethylphenyl)(thiophen-2-yl)methanone (**3ng**). Following the general procedure, **3ng** was isolated as a pale yellow oil (205 mg, 95%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.80 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.68 (d, *J* = 4.9 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (d, *J* = 3.7 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.15–7.12 (m, 1H), 2.71 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.27 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 188.0, 149.2, 143.8, 135.7, 134.5, 133.8, 129.5, 127.9, 127.9, 29.0, 15.3. HRMS (EI) *m*/*z*: [M]⁺ Calcd for C₁₃H₁₂OS 216.0609; Found 216.0602.

(4-tert-Butylphenyl)(thiophen-2-yl)methanone (**3nh**). Following the general procedure, **3nh** was isolated as a pale yellow oil (215 mg, 88%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.83 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.70 (d, *J* = 4.9 Hz, 1H), 7.67 (d, *J* = 3.7 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.15 (t, *J* = 4.3 Hz, 1H), 1.37 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 187.9, 156.0, 143.8, 135.4, 134.6, 133.8, 129.2, 127.9, 125.4, 35.1, 31.2.

Scheme 2. Proposed Mechanism for Palladium-Catalyzed Acylative Suzuki Coupling



HRMS (EI) m/z: [M]⁺ Calcd for C₁₅H₁₆OS 244.0922; Found 244.0918.

(4-Methoxyphenyl)(thiophen-2-yl)methanone (**3ni**).^{23e} Following the general procedure, **3ni** was isolated as a pale yellow oil (185 mg, 88%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.88 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.66 (d, *J* = 4.9 Hz, 1H), 7.62 (d, *J* = 3.8 Hz, 1H), 7.13 (s, 1H), 6.97 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 3.86 (s, 3H).

(4-(Methylthio)phenyl)(thiophen-2-yl)methanone (**3n***j*).^{23f} Following the general procedure, **3n***j* was isolated as a pale yellow oil (171 mg, 73%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.82 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.71 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (d, J = 3.7 Hz, 1H), 7.31 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.16 (s, 1H), 2.54 (s, 3H).

Thiophen-2-yl(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)methanone (**3nk**).²³⁹ Following the general procedure, **3nk** was isolated as a pale yellow solid (202 mg, 79%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.96 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.78 (t, J = 5.4 Hz, 3H), 7.63 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1H), 7.19 (t, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, cdcl₃) δ -63.04.

(4-Fluorophenyl)(thiophen-2-yl)methanone (3nl).^{10e} Following the general procedure, 3nl was isolated as a pale yellow oil (156 mg, 75%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.91 (dd, J = 8.8, 5.4 Hz, 2H), 7.73 (dd, J = 5.0, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (dd, J = 3.8, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.20–7.16 (m, 3H). ¹⁹F NMR (471 MHz, CDCl₃) δ –106.21 to –106.29.

(3-Fluorophenyl)(thiophen-2-yl)methanone (3nm).^{23h} Following the general procedure, 3nm was isolated as a pale yellow oil (163 mg, 79%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.75 (d, *J* = 4.9 Hz, 1H), 7.65 (d, *J* = 4.2 Hz, 2H), 7.55 (d, *J* = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (dd, *J* = 13.5, 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.31–7.26 (m, 1H), 7.18 (t, *J* = 4.3 Hz, 1H). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ –111.80.

(4-Chlorophenyl)(thiophen-2-yl)methanone (3nn).^{10f} Following the general procedure, 3nn was isolated as a pale yellow solid (196 mg, 88%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.82 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.74 (d, *J* = 4.9 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (d, *J* = 3.7 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.17 (s, 1H).

(2-Chlorophenyl)(thiophen-2-yl)methanone (3no).^{10e} Following the general procedure, 3no was isolated as a pale yellow oil (153 mg, 69%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.75 (d, *J* = 4.9 Hz, 1H), 7.48–7.40 (m, 4H), 7.35 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.12 (t, *J* = 4.2 Hz, 1H).

(3,5-Dichlorophenyl)(thiophen-2-yl)methanone (**3np**).²³ⁱ Following the general procedure, **3np** was isolated as a pale yellow solid (147

mg, 53%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.79 (d, *J* = 4.9 Hz, 1H), 7.72–7.69 (m, 2H), 7.64 (d, *J* = 3.8 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (t, *J* = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.20 (dd, *J* = 6.5, 2.2 Hz, 1H).

(1,1'-Biphenyl)-4-yl-2-thienyl-methanone (**3nq**). Following the general procedure, **3nq** was isolated as a pale yellow solid (211 mg, 80%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.96 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.72 (dt, *J* = 3.6, 2.3 Hz, 4H), 7.65 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.49 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.42 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.19 (t, *J* = 4.4 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 187.8, 145.1, 143.7, 140.0, 136.8, 134.7, 134.2, 129.9, 129.0, 128.2, 128.0, 127.3, 127.1. HRMS (EI) *m*/*z*: [M]⁺ Calcd for C₁₇H₁₂OS 264.0609; Found 264.0611. mp 102–103 °C.

Benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl(thiophen-2-yl)methanone (**3nr**).^{23j} Following the general procedure, **3nr** was isolated as a white solid (105 mg, 80%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.69 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (s, 1H), 7.38 (s, 1H), 7.15 (s, 1H), 6.89 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 6.07 (s, 2H).

Naphthalen-1-yl(thiophen-2-yl)methanone (**3ns**). Following the general procedure, **3ns** was isolated as a pale yellow solid (199 mg, 84%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.19–8.11 (m, 1H), 7.98 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.92–7.87 (m, 1H), 7.72 (t, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 7.51 (dd, *J* = 9.8, 5.7 Hz, 3H), 7.46 (d, *J* = 3.7 Hz, 1H), 7.08 (t, *J* = 4.4 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 189.7, 145.4, 136.2, 135.7, 135.1, 133.8, 131.3, 130.6, 128.4, 128.2, 127.3, 127.1, 126.6, 125.5, 124.3. HRMS (EI) m/z: [M]⁺ Calcd for C₁₅H₁₀OS 238.0452; Found 238.0456. mp 71–72 °C.

Thiophen-2-yl(thiophen-3-yl)methanone (*3nt*).^{10f} Following the general procedure, *3nt* was isolated as a pale yellow solid (150 mg, 77%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.12–8.04 (m, 1H), 7.79 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1H), 7.69 (d, J = 4.9 Hz, 1H), 7.62 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (dd, J = 5.0, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 7.17 (t, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H). *Dithiophen-2-ylmethanone* (*3nu*).^{23k} Following the general

Dithiophen-2-ylmethanone (**3nu**).^{23K} Following the general procedure, **3nu** was isolated as a white solid (111 mg, 57%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.91 (d, J = 3.7 Hz, 2H), 7.71 (d, J = 4.9 Hz, 2H), 7.19 (t, J = 4.3 Hz, 2H).

Furan-2-yl(thiophen-2-yl)methanone (**3nv**).^{23/} Following the general procedure, **3nv** was isolated as a pale yellow solid (98 mg, 55%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 8.18 (d, J = 3.7 Hz, 1H), 7.75–7.65 (m, 2H), 7.41 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 1H), 7.20 (t, J = 4.3 Hz, 1H), 6.67–6.52 (m, 1H).

tert-Butyl 2-(*Thiophene-2-carbonyl*)-1*H-pyrrole-1-carboxylate* (**3nw**). Following the general procedure, **3nw** was isolated as a white solid (169 mg, 61%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.66 (ddd, *J* = 4.9, 4.3, 1.1 Hz, 2H), 7.42 (dd, *J* = 3.1, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.12 (dd, *J* = 4.9, 3.8 Hz, 1H), 6.75 (dd, *J* = 3.5, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 6.22 (t, *J* = 3.3 Hz, 1H), 1.44 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 178.3, 148.4, 144.6, 133.8, 133.7, 132.1, 127.9, 126.4, 120.6, 110.3, 85.1, 27.5. HRMS (EI) *m/z*: [M]⁺ Calcd for C₁₄H₁₅N₁O₃S 277.0773; Found 277.0777. mp 86–87 °C.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.5b02667.

Copies of ¹H NMR, ¹⁹F NMR, and ¹³C NMR of all compounds (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: zhuoz@scnu.edu.cn.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21272080), the Scientific Research Foundation for the Returned Overseas Chinese Scholars, State Education Ministry, and Guangdong

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

Province Higher Vocational Colleges and Schools Pearl River Scholar Funded Scheme (2012).

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Bringmann, G.; Gampe, C. M.; Reichert, Y.; Bruhn, T.; Faber, J. H.; Mikyna, M.; Reichert, M.; Leippe, M.; Brun, R.; Gelhaus, C. J. Med. Chem. 2007, 50, 6104–6115. (b) Gobbi, S.; Cavalli, A.; Negri, M.; Schewe, K. E.; Belluti, F.; Piazzi, L.; Hartmann, R. W.; Recanatini, M.; Bisi, A. J. Med. Chem. 2007, 50, 3420–3422. (c) Taladriz, A.; Healy, A.; Flores Pérez, E. J.; García, V. H.; Martínez, C. R.; Alkhaldi, A. A. M.; Eze, A. A.; Kaiser, M.; de Koning, H. P.; Chana, A.; Dardonville, C. J. Med. Chem. 2012, 55, 2606–2622.

(2) (a) Qin, A. J.; Tang, L.; Lam, J. W. Y.; Jim, C. K. W.; Yu, Y.; Zhao, H.; Sun, J. Z.; Tang, B. Z. Adv. Funct. Mater. 2009, 19, 1891–1900. (b) Reitzenstein, D.; Quast, T.; Kanal, F.; Kullmann, M.; Ruetzel, S.; Hammer, M. S.; Deibel, C.; Dyakonov, V.; Brixner, T.; Lambert, C. Chem. Mater. 2010, 22, 6641–6655. (c) Hu, R. R.; Maldonado, J. L.; Rodriguez, M.; Deng, C. M.; Jim, C. K. W.; Lam, J. W. Y.; Yuen, M. M. F.; Ramos-Ortiz, G.; Tang, B. Z. J. Mater. Chem. 2012, 22, 232–240. (d) Yu, Y.; Li, J.; Chen, S. J.; Hong, Y. N.; Ng, K. M.; Luo, K. Q.; Tang, B. Z. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2013, 5 (11), 4613–4616. (e) Peng, H.-Q.; Xu, J.-F.; Chen, Y.-Z.; Wu, L.-Z.; Tung, C.-H.; Yang, Q.-Z. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 1334–1337.

(3) (a) Sui, Y.-Z.; Zhang, X.-C.; Wu, J.-W.; Li, S. J.; Zhou, J.-N.; Li, M.; Fang, W. J.; Chan, A. S. C.; Wu, J. Chem.—Eur. J. 2012, 18, 7486–7492. (b) Gandeepan, P.; Hung, C.-H.; Cheng, C.-H. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 9379–9381. (c) Hu, M. Y.; He, Z. B.; Gao, B.; Li, L. C.; Ni, C. F.; Hu, J. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 17302–17305. (d) Gao, B.; Zhao, Y. C.; Hu, M. Y.; Ni, C. F.; Hu, J. B. Chem.—Eur. J. 2014, 20, 7803–7810.

(4) (a) Goossen, L. J.; Ghosh, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 3458–3460. (b) Goossen, L. J.; Ghosh, K. Chem. Commun. 2001, 2084–2085. (c) Chen, Q.; Fan, X.-H.; Zhang, L.-P.; Yang, L.-M. RSC Adv. 2014, 4, 53885–53890.

(5) (a) Yu, A. J.; Shen, L.; Cui, X. L.; Peng, D. P.; Wu, Y. J. *Tetrahedron* **2012**, *68* (10), 2283–2288. (b) Xin, B. W.; Zhang, Y. H.; Cheng, K. J. Org. Chem. **2006**, *71* (15), 5725–5731.

(6) (a) Tatamidani, H.; Kakiuchi, F.; Chatani, N. Org. Lett. 2004, 6 (20), 3597–3599. (b) Tatamidani, H.; Yokota, K.; Kakiuchi, F.; Chatani, N. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69 (17), 5615–5621.

(7) (a) Zhou, C. X.; Larock, R. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126 (8), 2302–2303. (b) Zhou, C. X.; Larock, R. C. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71 (9), 3551–3558. (c) Zhao, B. W.; Lu, X. Y. Org. Lett. 2006, 8 (20), 5987–5990. (d) Wong, Y.-C.; Parthasarathy, K.; Cheng, C.-H. Org. Lett. 2010, 12 (8), 1736–1739.

(8) (a) Pucheault, M.; Darses, S.; Genet, J.-P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126 (47), 15356–15357. (b) Mora, G.; Darses, S.; Genet, J.-P. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2007, 349 (7), 1180–1184. (c) Liao, Y.-X.; Hu, Q.-S. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75 (20), 6986–6989. (d) Li, H.; Xu, Y.; Shi, E.; Wei, W.; Suo, X. Q.; Wan, X. B. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 7880–7882. (e) Karthikeyan, J.; Parthasarathy, K.; Cheng, C.-H. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 10461–10463.

(9) (a) Li, X. J.; Zou, G. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 5089-5092.
(b) Meng, G. R.; Szostak, M. Org. Lett. 2015, 17 (17), 4364-4367.

(10) (a) Wu, X.-F.; Neumann, H.; Beller, M. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 4986. (b) Cai, M. Z.; Peng, J.; Hao, W. Y.; Ding, G. D. Green Chem. 2011, 13, 190–196. (c) Wu, X.-F.; Neumann, H.; Beller, M. Chem. Rev. 2013, 113, 1. (d) Zhong, Y. Z.; Han, W. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 3874–3877. (e) Zhou, Q.; Wei, S. H.; Han, W. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 1454–1460. (f) Gautam, P.; Bhanage, B. M. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80 (15), 7810–7815.

(11) Pedley, J. B.; Naylor, R. D.; Kirby, S. P. *Thermochemical Data of Organic Compounds*, 2nd ed.; Chapman and Hall: New York, 1986.

(12) Quasdorf, K. W.; Tian, X.; Garg, N. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130 (44), 14422–14423.

(13) Yu, J.-Y.; Kuwano, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 7217–7220.

(14) Xu, L.; Li, B.-J.; Wu, Z.-H.; Lu, X.-Y.; Guan, B.-T.; Wang, B.-Q.; Zhao, K.-Q.; Shi, Z.-J. Org. Lett. **2010**, *12*, 884–887.

(15) Quasdorf, K. W.; Riener, M.; Petrova, K. V.; Garg, N. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 17748–17749.

(16) (a) Tu, T.; Mao, H.; Herbert, C.; Xu, M. Z.; Dötz, K. H. *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, 46, 7796–7798. (b) Leowanawat, P.; Zhang, N.; Resmerita, A. M.; Rosen, B. M.; Percec, V. J. Org. *Chem.* **2011**, 76, 9946–9955. (c) Wang, Z.-Y.; Chen, G.-Q.; Shao, L.-X. J. Org. *Chem.* **2012**, 77 (15), 6608–6614.

(17) (a) Leowanawat, P.; Zhang, N.; Percec, V. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 1018–1025. (b) Leowanawat, P.; Zhang, N.; Safi, M.; Hoffman, D. J.; Fryberger, M. C.; George, A.; Percec, V. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 2885–2892.

(18) Hanley, P. S.; Ober, M. S.; Krasovskiy, A. L.; Whiteker, G. T.; Kruper, W. J. ACS Catal. **2015**, *5* (9), 5041–5046.

(19) (a) Li, X.-J.; Zhang, J.-L.; Geng, Y.; Jin, Z. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 5078–5084. (b) Iranpoor, N.; Panahi, F. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 214–217.

(20) Yu, B.; Sun, H. M.; Xie, Z. Y.; Zhang, G. F.; Xu, L.-W.; Zhang, W. Q.; Gao, Z. W. Org. Lett. **2015**, *17*, 3298–3301.

(21) Li, Z.; Zhang, S.-L.; Fu, Y.; Guo, Q.-X.; Liu, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2009**, 131, 8815–8823.

(22) De Luca, L.; Giacomelli, G.; Porcheddu, A. Org. Lett. 2001, 3 (10), 1519–1521.

(23) The structures of known compounds were confirmed by detailed NMR data comparison with those in the following literatures. (a) Gonzalez-de-Castro, A.; Xiao, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137 (25), 8206-8218. (b) Zhang, X. Y.; Wang, Z. X.; Fan, X. S.; Wang, J. J. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 10660-10667. (c) Jiang, T.-S.; Wang, G.-W. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356 (2-3), 369-373. (d) Chuzel, O.; Roesch, A.; Genet, J.-P.; Darses, S. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73 (19), 7800-7802. (e) Heller, S. T.; Newton, J. N.; Fu, T. T.; Sarpong, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 9839-9843. (f) Harrak, Y.; Casula, G.; Basset, J.; Rosell, G.; Plescia, S.; Raffa, D.; Cusimano, M. G.; Pouplana, R.; Pujol, M. D. J. Med. Chem. 2010, 53 (18), 6560-6571. (g) Ito, K.; Tamashima, H.; Iwasawa, N.; Kusama, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133 (11), 3716-3719. (h) De Martino, D.; La Regina, G.; Di Pasquali, A.; Ragno, R.; Bergamini, A.; Ciaprini, C.; Sinistro, A.; Maga, G.; Crespan, E.; Artico, M.; Silvestri, R. J. Med. Chem. 2005, 48 (13), 4378-4388. (i) Mallari, J. P.; Shelat, A.; Kosinski, A.; Caffrey, C. R.; Connelly, M.; Zhu, F. Y.; McKerrow, J. H.; Guy, R. K. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2008, 18 (9), 2883-2885. (j) Lerebours, R.; Camacho-Soto, A.; Wolf, C. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70 (21), 8601-8604. (k) Gu, L. J.; Jin, C.; Zhang, H. T.; Zhang, L. Z. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79 (17), 8453-8456. (1) Ortiz, P.; del Hoyo, A. M.; Harutyunyan, S. R. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 2015, 72 - 76